

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/384,959	08/27/1999	RAM SASISEKHARAN	M0656/7046HC	8533	
23628 7.	590 09/09/2004		EXAMINER		
	ENFIELD & SACKS, PC	HUTSON, RICHARD G			
FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA	BOSTON, MA 02210-2211			1652	
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· .						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/384,959	SASISEKHARAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard G. Hutson	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply secified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	ıne 2004.					
· _ · · · ·	·					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 30-34,46-49 and 58-60 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30, 32-34,46-49,58-60</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
on the subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than the correction of the correction of the original than the correction of the correction	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants amendment of claims 30, 33 and 46 and the addition of new claims 58-60, in the paper of 6/14/2004, is acknowledged and has been entered. Applicants' arguments filed on 6/14/2004, have been fully considered and are deemed to be persuasive to overcome some of the rejections previously applied. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn.

Claims 30-34, 46-49 and 58-60 are at issue and are present for examination.

Claim Objections

Claim 31 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 31 depends from rejected claim 30.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 30, 32, 33, 34, 46, 47, 48, 49 and 58-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for those methods of cleaving a heparin-like or heparan sulfate-like

Art Unit: 1652

glycosaminoglycan comprising contacting said heparin-like or heparan sulfate-like glycosaminoglycan with a modified heparinase II comprising SEQ ID NO: 2 or heparinase I comprising SEQ ID NO: 4 with a substitution at a specific histidine or cysteine 348, does not reasonably provide enablement for those methods of cleaving a heparin-like or heparan sulfate-like glycosaminoglycan comprising contacting said heparin-like or heparan sulfate-like glycosaminoglycan with any modified heparinase II comprising SEQ ID NO: 2 or heparinase I comprising SEQ ID NO: 4 or having conservative substitutions thereof, with a substitution at a specific histidine or cysteine. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Claims 30, 32, 33, 34, 46, 47, 48, 49 and 58-60 are so broad as to encompass any method of cleaving a heparin-like or heparan sulfate-like glycosaminoglycan comprising contacting said heparin-like or heparan sulfate-like glycosaminoglycan with any modified heparinase comprising SEQ ID NO: 2 or SEQ ID NO: 4 or conservative substitutions thereof. The scope of the claims is not commensurate with the enablement provided by the disclosure with regard to the extremely large number of modified heparinase II enzymes broadly encompassed by the claims. Since the amino acid sequence of a protein determines its structural and functional properties, predictability of which changes can be tolerated in a protein's amino acid sequence and obtain the desired activity requires a knowledge of and guidance with regard to which amino acids

Art Unit: 1652

in the protein's sequence, if any, are tolerant of modification and which are conserved (i.e. expectedly intolerant to modification), and detailed knowledge of the ways in which the proteins' structure relates to its function. However, in this case the disclosure is limited to the claimed methods using those modified heparinase II enzymes having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 or 4 with a substitution at a specific histidine or cysteine.

While recombinant and mutagenesis techniques are known, it is not routine in the art to screen for multiple substitutions or multiple modifications, as encompassed by the instant claims, and the positions within a protein's sequence where amino acid modifications can be made with a reasonable expectation of success in obtaining the desired activity/utility are limited in any protein and the result of such modifications is unpredictable. In addition, one skilled in the art would expect any tolerance to modification for a given protein to diminish with each further and additional modification, e.g. multiple substitutions.

The specification does not support the broad scope of the claims which encompass all methods of using any modified heparinase, from any species, having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2, 4 or conservative substitutions thereof, because the specification does not establish: (A) regions of the protein structure which may be modified without effecting heparinase activity; (B) the general tolerance of heparinases to modification and extent of such tolerance; (C) a rational and predictable scheme for modifying any amino acid residues of any heparinase with an expectation of obtaining the desired biological

Art Unit: 1652

function; and (D) the specification provides insufficient guidance as to which of the essentially infinite possible choices is likely to be successful.

Thus, applicants have not provided sufficient guidance to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the claimed invention in a manner reasonably correlated with the scope of the claims broadly including methods involving any number of amino acid modifications of any heparinase. The scope of the claims must bear a reasonable correlation with the scope of enablement (In re Fisher, 166 USPQ 19 24 (CCPA 1970)). Without sufficient guidance, determination of those modified heparinase enzymes having the desired biological characteristics is unpredictable and the experimentation left to those skilled in the art is unnecessarily, and improperly, extensive and undue. See In re Wands 858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2nd 1400 (Fed. Cir, 1988).

This rejection was made in a previous office action, 2/25/2003, on the basis that applicants specific reference to the functional language of a specific product profile was not enabled. Applicants amended the claims and traversed the rejection as it applied to the amended claims and the rejection was withdrawn based on applicants amendment. Upon further consideration the rejection is maintained based on applicants claimed methods of use of any heparinase II or I having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 or 4 and conservative substitutions thereof.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard G Hutson whose telephone number

Art Unit: 1652

is (571) 272-0930. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am to 4:00 pm, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on (571) 272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard G Hutson, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1652

rgh 9/1/2004